COLLEGE OF MICRONESIA-FSM EMPLOYEE PROGRESS REPORT APPENDIX K

Last Name	First Name	Office	Payroll Number
Position	Date Assigned to Present Position	Period of Report	Date of Report
Date Employed	Date Last Increase	Amount Last Increase	Present Salary
3 Months Report □ 6 Months Report □	12 Months Report	Days Absent Since Last Report	Supervisor

The value of this PROGRESS REPORT lies solely with the person making the rating and depends upon his/her impartiality and sound judgement. Each characteristic or trial should be judged separately. Do not allow the evaluation of one trait influence you on another. The PROGRESS REPORT should express an evaluation of the staff member in comparison with others doing similar work. Make no entry except where statement is based on PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE.

QUALIFICATIONS

The staff member is to be rated as to the degree of success he/she has attained and an "X" placed in the appropriate numbered space. Enter the numerical value of each qualification in the last column. Note the numerical values in each qualification category, they are to better define degree of success within the category and define borderline situations.

QUANTITY OF WORK: Consider the quantity of work turned out and the promptness with which he/she completes it.

Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Numerical Value
0	1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10	
Below minimum standards. Does small amount of work. Wastes time.	Up to minimum standards. Does just enough to get by. Has to be prodded occasionally.	Above minimum standards. Satisfactory amount of work. Works steadily.	Well above average. Very fast worker.	Outstanding. Exceptionally fast worker. Habitually drives himself/ herself hard.	

QUALITY OF WORK: Consider the neatness, accuracy, and general efficiency of his/her work. Does he/she constantly maintain high workmanship in this respect?

Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Numerical Value
0	1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10	
Below minimum standards. Complete checking required. Makes many errors.	Up to minimum standards. Not very accurate. Careless.	Above minimum standards. Satisfactory in accuracy of work.	Well above average. Good accurate worker. Seldom makes mistake.	Outstanding. Very accurate and complete work.	

KNOWLEDGE OF JOB: Consider how much he/she knows about his/her present job and of other work closely related to it and work in other departments.

Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Numerical Value
0	1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10	
Has limited	Understands his/her	Has good	Thoroughly	Expert in the	
knowledge of his/her	own job	understanding of	understands own and	department. Has	
job.		own and related jobs.	related jobs. Has	working knowledge	
			general knowledge of	of other departments.	
			work in other	Can do many	
			departments.	important jobs.	

Copy to Employee, Immediate Supervisor, and [when applicable] Secondary Supervisor & Campus Director and original copy to Personnel File

INITIATIVE: Consider his/her ability to act on his/her own responsibility in the absence of instructions. Can he/she start needed work and go ahead or is he/she the type that has to be told what to do?

Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Numerical Value
0	1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10	
Always waits to be told what to do and still needs some help in getting started.	Relies on others, must be told what to do.	Will act voluntarily in matters involving deviation from routine.	Plans order of work well: Will act voluntarily in most matters.	Has good ideas that often lead to a better way of doing things. Alert at all times.	

APTITUDE AND ABILITY TO LEARN: Consider how quickly he/she learns new work, retains what he/she has learned, and ease with which he/she follows instructions.

Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Numerical Value
0	1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10	
Very slow to learn. Poor memory.	Requires excessive instruction. Learns slowly.	Requires average instruction to do satisfactory work.	Learns fairly rapidly. Good memory.	Learns very rapidly. Excellent memory.	

ATTENTION TO DUTY: Consider ability to work thoroughly and conscientiously. Does he/she subordinates own convenience, comfort, and desires to a complete, exact, and faithful performance of his duty?

Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Numerical Value
0 Wastes time. Does not work seriously.	1 2 Only moderately industrious.	3 4 5 Shows ordinary interest and application.	6 7 8 Willing worker. Always does full day's work.	9 10 Exceptionally industrious and conscientious in work.	

DEPENDABILITY: Consider the amount of supervision required. Can you depend on his/her word? Is he/she punctual? Is his/her attendance record without fault?

Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Numerical Value
0	1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10	
Needs constant supervision. Punctuality poor. Cannot be relied upon.	Requires close supervision. Punctuality and attendance fair. Not very dependable.	Average supervision required. Usually prompt and dependable.	Little supervision required. Good record of attendance and punctuality. Dependable.	No supervision required. Conscientious and dependable in all things.	

JUDGEMENT: Consider the intelligence and thought he/she uses in arriving at decisions. Does he/she have the ability to act calmly and logically and rapidly under stress?

Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Numerical Value
0	1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10	
Poor sense of value. Likely to make poor decisions.	Jumps at conclusions. Makes decisions on matters which should be referred to supervisor.	Judgement dependable on matters of routine nature.	Uses good common sense. Most decisions acceptable.	Sound judgement. Decisions based on thorough analysis.	

COOPERATION: Consider his/her willingness to work with and help others. Is he/she willing to assume his/her full share of work and responsibility? Does he/she cooperate in manner as well as act?

Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Numerical Value
0	1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10	
Irritates others. Causes friction. Inclined to be quarrelsome.	Reluctant to cooperate with others. Individualist. Difficult to work with sometimes.	Cooperates in an agreeable manner. Tactful and obliging.	Always congenial and helpful. Other employees like to work with him/her.	Goes out of his/her way to cooperate with others. An unusual and strong force for office morale.	

PERSONALITY: Consider his/her appearance, tactfulness, self-confidence, integrity, loyalty, and the impression he/she makes on others.

Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Numerical Value
0	1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10	
Negative, lacking,	Doesn't make friends	Average impression.	Favorable	Very pleasing.	
antagonizes.	easily.	Friendly. Accepted	impression. Well	Inspires confidence	
Arouses resentment.		by co-workers.	liked and respected.	and leads others.	

	Yes	No
Has progress been made since last rating?		
Capable of future advancement?		
Satisfactory in present position?		
Do you advise transfer?		
Ready for promotion?		

Enter sum of numerical value here. (Be sure to carry sum from pages1-3) Enter numerical rating using the following basis: Numerical rating equals the total value divided by total number of entries for which a numerical rating was given.

If ready for promotion, to what position?	Enter adjectival rating based on numerical rating. Use the following code. 0.0 to 1.99 – Unsatisfactory 6.0-8.99 Excellent 2.0 to 2.99 – Questionable 9.0 to 19.0 – Superior 3.0 to 5.99 Satisfactory		
If rating unsatisfactory or questionable, state reason why.	If rating unsatisfactory or questionable, state what corrective measures will be taken.		
What is the employee's attitude toward his/her work and his/her conformance to COM-FSM policies and procedures?	Is employee well suited for type of work she/he is now doing? If not, what sort of work should she/he be doing?		
How successful is employee in handling COM-FSM students?	What is employee doing to improve herself/himself? What training or other courses or other study has been completed since the last progress report? Courses School Date Grade		
FROM	ТО		
Job Title	Job Title		
Date of Last Increase	Office Percent of Increase		
Amount of Last Increase_\$	Proposed increase		
Present Salary_\$	Proposed Salary <u>\$</u>		

Copy to Employee, Immediate Supervisor, and [when applicable] Secondary Supervisor & Campus Director and original copy to Personnel File

What was the employee's reaction when this report was discussed with him/her?

If report was not discussed, why not?	Additional remarks not covered heretofore in report	
Signature of Employee	Date	
Signature of Person Preparing Report	Signature of Chief Executive	
Signature of Department Head or Deans	Reviewed by Human Resource Department	

Notes:

HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE (for HRO use only)			
Received By:	Date:		
Salary Increment Increase Effective Date	Step:	Amount:\$	
Contract Renewal Effective Date:	Step:	Amount:\$	
Human Resources Director:	Date:		

Copy to Employee, Immediate Supervisor, and [when applicable] Secondary Supervisor & Campus Director and original copy to Personnel File